

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

7%

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,835	12/31/2003	Stefan Kwiatkowski	CUTLER-08518	9417
75	90 03/16/2005		EXAM	NER
Thomas W. Brown			SEAMAN, D MARGARET M	
MEDLEN & CA	ARROLL, LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 350	•		ARTONI	TAI EN NOMBER
101 Howard Street			1625	•
San Francisco, CA 94105			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,835	KWIATKOWSKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Margaret Seaman	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition and accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition accomposition accomposition are contacted as a specific product of the second accomposition accomp	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the formula of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be seen that the drawing(s) is objected to be seen that the drawing(s) is objected to be seen that the drawing(s) is objected to by the formula of the drawing(s) is objected to by the formula of the drawing(s) is objected to by the formula of the drawing(s) is objected to by the formula of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	11	

Application/Control Number: 10/749,835

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This application was filed 12/31/2003 and is a CON of 10/281,684 (filed 10/28/2002, US Patent #6,730,790) which claims benefit of 60/360,954 (3/1/2002). Claims 1-26 are before the Examiner.

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2, 5, 8 and 9 (respectively) of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,730,790. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/749,835

Art Unit: 1625

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

4. Claims 3-4, 6-7 and 10-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-4, 6-7 and 10-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,730,790. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims fully overlap each other but the wording is different.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 10, 16-18, 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, these claims are ambiguous due to the claims not stating what the method is used for. It is suggested that these claims be amended such that they read "A method of making ______, comprising...". This would be acceptable language.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 571-272-0694. The examiner can normally be reached on 630am-4pm, First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecelia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Margaret Seamar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

dms